

# Approach 1

$$S[u, \lambda, \rho, p] =$$

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Pi^d} \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho |u|^2 + \mu \cdot (\partial_t a + u \cdot \nabla a) + p(1-p) + \lambda (\partial_t \rho + \text{div}(u\rho)) \right) dx dt$$

particle labelling  
↓

incompressibility  
↓

①

↑ mass density

$$u, \mu: \Pi^d \times \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \lambda, \rho, p: \Pi^d \times \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$\delta S[u, \lambda, \rho, p] =$$

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Pi^d} (\rho u + \nabla \mu \cdot a - \rho \nabla \lambda) \cdot \delta u$$

$$+ \int_0^T \int_{\Pi^d} \left( -\frac{|u|^2}{2} - \partial_t \lambda - u \cdot \nabla \lambda + p \right) \delta \rho$$

$$+ \int_0^T \int_{\Pi^d} (1-p) \delta p$$

$$- \int_0^T \int_{\Pi^d} (\partial_t \mu + \text{div}(u\mu)) \cdot \delta a$$

$$+ \int_0^T \int_{\Pi^d} (\partial_t a + u \cdot \nabla a) \cdot \delta \mu$$

$$+ \int_0^T \int_{\Pi^d} (\partial_t \rho + \text{div}(u\rho)) \cdot \delta \lambda$$

$$1) \rho u + \nabla \mu \cdot u - \rho \nabla \lambda = 0$$

$$2) -\frac{(|u|^2}{2} - \partial_t \lambda - u \cdot \nabla \lambda + P = 0$$

$$3) 1 - \rho = 0$$

$$4) \partial_t \mu + \operatorname{div}(u \mu) = 0$$

$$5) \partial_t a + u \cdot \nabla a = 0$$

$$6) \partial_t P + \operatorname{div}(u P) = 0$$

Consequences:

$$\textcircled{3} \Rightarrow \rho = 1$$

$$\textcircled{3} + \textcircled{6} \Rightarrow \operatorname{div} u = 0$$

(3)

$$1) \quad u + \nabla\mu \cdot a - \nabla\lambda = 0$$

$$2) \quad -\frac{|u|^2}{2} - \partial_t \lambda - u \cdot \nabla \lambda + P = 0$$

$$4) \quad \partial_t \mu + u \cdot \nabla \mu = 0$$

$$5) \quad \partial_t a + u \cdot \nabla a = 0$$

NOTE: I will ignore total gradients

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \textcircled{1} &= \partial_t u + \partial_t (\nabla\mu \cdot a) - \nabla \partial_t \lambda \\ &= \partial_t u + \nabla \partial_t \mu \cdot a + \nabla\mu \cdot \partial_t a \\ &\quad - \nabla \left( P - \frac{|u|^2}{2} - u \cdot \nabla \lambda \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{a} + \textcircled{b} &= -\nabla\mu \cdot (u \cdot \nabla a) - \nabla (u \cdot \nabla\mu) \cdot a \\ &= -\nabla\mu \cdot (\nabla\lambda \cdot \nabla a) + \nabla\mu (\nabla\mu \cdot a \cdot \nabla a) \\ &\quad - \nabla (\nabla\lambda \cdot \nabla\mu) \cdot a + \nabla (\nabla\mu \cdot a \cdot \nabla\mu) \cdot a \end{aligned}$$

We wish to compare this to

$$\begin{aligned} u \cdot \nabla u &= (\nabla\lambda - \nabla\mu \cdot a) \cdot \nabla (\nabla\lambda - \nabla\mu \cdot a) \\ &= \nabla \frac{|\nabla\lambda|^2}{2} - \nabla \partial\lambda \cdot \nabla\mu \cdot a \\ &\quad - \nabla\lambda \cdot \nabla (\nabla\mu \cdot a) + \nabla\mu \cdot a \cdot \nabla (\nabla\mu \cdot a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 u \cdot \nabla u &= \nabla \frac{|\nabla \lambda|^2}{2} - \nabla \theta \nabla \lambda \cdot \nabla \mu \cdot a & (4) \\
 &- \nabla \lambda \cdot \nabla (\nabla \mu \cdot a) + \nabla \mu \cdot a \cdot \nabla (\nabla \mu \cdot a) \\
 &= \nabla \frac{|\nabla \lambda|^2}{2} - \nabla \theta \nabla \lambda \cdot \nabla \mu \cdot a & (5) \\
 &- \nabla \lambda \cdot \nabla \theta \nabla \mu \cdot a - \nabla \mu \cdot (\nabla \lambda \cdot \nabla a) & (3) \\
 &+ \nabla \mu \cdot a \cdot \nabla \theta \nabla \mu \cdot a + \nabla \mu (\nabla \mu \cdot a \cdot \nabla a) & (4)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3) &= \partial_i \lambda \partial_i \partial_k \mu_e a_e \\
 &= \partial_k (\partial_i \lambda \partial_i \mu_e a_e) - \partial_i \partial_k \lambda \partial_i \mu_e a_e \\
 &- \partial_i \lambda \partial_i \mu_e \partial_k a_e
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4) &= \partial_i \mu_j a_j \partial_i \partial_k \mu_e a_e \\
 &= \partial_i \mu_j a_j \partial_k (\partial_i \mu_e a_e) - \nabla \frac{|\nabla \mu \cdot a|^2}{2} \\
 &- \partial_i \mu_j a_j \partial_i \mu_e \partial_k a_e
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3) + (4) &= \nabla q - \partial_i \lambda \partial_i \mu_e \partial_k a_e \\
 &- \partial_i \mu_j a_j \partial_i \mu_e \partial_k a_e
 \end{aligned}$$



$$\textcircled{5} + \textcircled{3} + \textcircled{4} = \nabla q - \partial_i \lambda \partial_i \mu_e \partial_k a_e - \partial_i \mu_j a_j \partial_i \mu_e \partial_k a_e$$

should be compared to (from eq  $\textcircled{3}$ )

$$- \nabla (\nabla \lambda \cdot \nabla \mu) \cdot a + \nabla (\nabla \mu \cdot a \cdot \nabla \mu) \cdot a$$

$$= \partial_k (\partial_i \lambda \partial_i \mu_e) a_e + \partial_k (\partial_i \mu_j a_j \partial_i \mu_e) a_e$$

$$= \nabla \tilde{q} - \partial_i \lambda \partial_i \mu_e \partial_k a_e - \partial_i \mu_j a_j \partial_i \mu_e \partial_k a_e$$

Thus  $\downarrow$  some scalar

$$u \cdot \nabla u = \nabla \tilde{q} - \nabla (\nabla \lambda \cdot \nabla \mu) \cdot a + \nabla (\nabla \mu \cdot a \cdot \nabla \mu) \cdot a$$

$$- \nabla \mu \cdot (\nabla \lambda \cdot \nabla a) + \nabla \mu (\nabla \mu \cdot a \cdot \nabla a)$$

Compare this with  $\textcircled{a} + \textcircled{b}$  on [pg 3]. Have

$$\textcircled{a} + \textcircled{b} = u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla \tilde{q}$$

$\nwarrow$  some scalar.

Combining, we obtain Euler equations: (b)

$$\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u = \nabla p$$

$$\nabla \cdot u = 0$$

Note that, this  $u$  has the structure

$$(*) \quad u = \nabla \lambda - \nabla \mu \times a$$

where  $\partial_t \mu + u \cdot \nabla \mu = 0$

$$\partial_t a + u \cdot \nabla a = 0$$

REMARK: If  $\mu$  and  $a$  are scalars, then

(\*) is the Clebsch representation.

Clebsch does not represent all divergence-free fields

since they have zero helicity:

$$w = \text{curl } u = -\nabla \mu \times \nabla a \Rightarrow \boxed{w \cdot u = 0}$$

But if  $\mu$  and  $a$  are vectors, as above, then  
(\*) represents a general incompressible field?

①

Claim 1: Given a pair of  $M$ -uples,  $M \geq 1$  integer

$$\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_M)$$

$$a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_M)$$

such that the following hold:

$$\partial_t \mu + u \cdot \nabla \mu = 0,$$

$$\partial_t a + u \cdot \nabla a = 0.$$

If  $u$  is given by the formula

$$u := \nabla \mu \cdot a + \nabla \lambda$$

with some scalar function  $\lambda$ , then  
 $u$  solves Euler

$$\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u = -\nabla p$$

where

$$p := (\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla) \lambda + \frac{1}{2} |u|^2$$

Note, demanding  $\nabla \cdot u = 0$  fixes  $p$  as  $-\Delta p = \operatorname{div}(u \cdot \nabla u)$ .  
This gives the equation for  $\lambda$  by combining with above.

## Proof of Claim 1:

(2)

We use the notation

$$D_t := \partial_t + u \cdot \nabla$$

We require the commutator identity: let  $f$  be scalar,

$$D_t \nabla f = \nabla D_t f - \nabla u \cdot \nabla f.$$

We now differentiate the expression  $\nabla u \cdot \nabla f$

$$u := \nabla \mu \cdot a - \nabla \lambda.$$

Computation:

$$D_t u = D_t (\nabla \mu \cdot a) + D_t (\nabla \lambda)$$

$$= D_t \nabla \mu \cdot a + \nabla \mu \cdot D_t a + D_t \nabla \lambda$$

using  $D_t \mu = D_t a = 0$

$$= -(\nabla u \cdot \nabla \mu) \cdot a + \nabla u \cdot \nabla \lambda - \nabla D_t \lambda$$

$$= -\nabla u \cdot u - \nabla D_t \lambda$$

$$= -\nabla \left( D_t \lambda + \frac{1}{2} |u|^2 \right).$$

This completes the proof.

(3)

Claim 2: Let  $u$  be a smooth solution of Euler

$$\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u = -\nabla p$$

$$\nabla \cdot u = 0.$$

Let trajectories be defined

$$\frac{d}{dt} X_t(x) = u(X_t(x), t)$$

$$X_0(x) = x$$

and the 'back-to-labels' map  $A_t := X_t^{-1}$ . Then

$$u := \nabla \mu \cdot a - \nabla \lambda.$$

where

$$\mu := A_t(x)$$

$$a := u_0(A_t(x))$$

$$\lambda := \tilde{\lambda}_t(A_t(x)),$$

$$\tilde{\lambda} := \int_0^t \left( p(X_s(x), t) - \frac{1}{2} |u(X_s(x), t)|^2 \right) ds$$

## Proof of Claim 2:

Note that, by definition

$$A_t(X_t(x)) = x.$$

Differentiating in time and using chain rule, we find

$$\partial_t A(X_t(x)) + \dot{X}_t(x) \cdot \nabla A_t(X_t(x)) = 0$$

using the equation  $\dot{X}_t = u(X_t)$ , together with the fact that  $X_t$  is a diffeomorphism from the fluid domain to itself, we deduce

$$D_t \mu = D_t A_t = 0.$$

$$D_x a = D_t u_0(A_t(x)) = 0.$$

Finally, note that from the definition of

$$\begin{aligned} D_t \lambda &:= \partial_t \tilde{\lambda}(A_t(x)) + \cancel{D_t A_t \cdot \nabla \tilde{\lambda}}^0 \\ &= p - \frac{1}{2} |u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Claim 2 follows by applying Claim 1.  $\square$