

Problem 1

1. Let $P(x)$, $Q(x)$, and $R(x)$ be predicates defined on the universe U and $A = \{x \in U \mid P(x)\}$, $B = \{x \in U \mid Q(x)\}$, and $C = \{x \in U \mid R(x)\}$ be sets. Reformulate the propositions

1. Let $P(x)$, $Q(x)$, and $R(x)$ be predicates defined on the universe U and $A = \{x \in U \mid P(x)\}$, $B = \{x \in U \mid Q(x)\}$, and $C = \{x \in U \mid R(x)\}$ be sets.

Reformulate the propositions

1.1 $\forall x \in U (P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$

1.2 $\exists x \in U (P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$

1. Let $P(x)$, $Q(x)$, and $R(x)$ be predicates defined on the universe U and $A = \{x \in U \mid P(x)\}$, $B = \{x \in U \mid Q(x)\}$, and $C = \{x \in U \mid R(x)\}$ be sets.

Reformulate the propositions

1.1 $\forall x \in U (P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$

1.2 $\exists x \in U (P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$

as statements about the sets A , B and C .

1. Let $P(x)$, $Q(x)$, and $R(x)$ be predicates defined on the universe U and $A = \{x \in U \mid P(x)\}$, $B = \{x \in U \mid Q(x)\}$, and $C = \{x \in U \mid R(x)\}$ be sets.

Reformulate the propositions

1.1 $\forall x \in U (P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$

1.2 $\exists x \in U (P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$

as statements about the sets A , B and C .

Solution for 1.1. Notice that the statement $\neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x))$ is equivalent to $\neg(\neg Q(x) \vee R(x))$

1. Let $P(x)$, $Q(x)$, and $R(x)$ be predicates defined on the universe U and $A = \{x \in U \mid P(x)\}$, $B = \{x \in U \mid Q(x)\}$, and $C = \{x \in U \mid R(x)\}$ be sets.

Reformulate the propositions

1.1 $\forall x \in U (P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$

1.2 $\exists x \in U (P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$

as statements about the sets A , B and C .

Solution for 1.1. Notice that the statement $\neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x))$ is equivalent to $\neg(\neg Q(x) \vee R(x))$, which, in turn, is equivalent to $Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)$.

1. Let $P(x)$, $Q(x)$, and $R(x)$ be predicates defined on the universe U and $A = \{x \in U \mid P(x)\}$, $B = \{x \in U \mid Q(x)\}$, and $C = \{x \in U \mid R(x)\}$ be sets.

Reformulate the propositions

1.1 $\forall x \in U (P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$

1.2 $\exists x \in U (P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$

as statements about the sets A , B and C .

Solution for 1.1. Notice that the statement $\neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x))$ is equivalent to $\neg(\neg Q(x) \vee R(x))$,

which, in turn, is equivalent to $Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)$.

Hence, the proposition $\forall x \in U (P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$ is equivalent to $\forall x \in U (P(x) \implies (Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)))$.

1. Let $P(x)$, $Q(x)$, and $R(x)$ be predicates defined on the universe U and $A = \{x \in U \mid P(x)\}$, $B = \{x \in U \mid Q(x)\}$, and $C = \{x \in U \mid R(x)\}$ be sets.

Reformulate the propositions

1.1 $\forall x \in U (P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$

1.2 $\exists x \in U (P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$

as statements about the sets A , B and C .

Solution for 1.1. Notice that the statement $\neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x))$ is equivalent to $\neg(\neg Q(x) \vee R(x))$,

which, in turn, is equivalent to $Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)$.

Hence, the proposition $\forall x \in U (P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$ is equivalent to $\forall x \in U (P(x) \implies (Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)))$.

By definition of inclusion, it is equivalent to proposition

$$A \subset \{x \in U \mid Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)\}.$$

1. Let $P(x)$, $Q(x)$, and $R(x)$ be predicates defined on the universe U and $A = \{x \in U \mid P(x)\}$, $B = \{x \in U \mid Q(x)\}$, and $C = \{x \in U \mid R(x)\}$ be sets.

Reformulate the propositions

1.1 $\forall x \in U (P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$

1.2 $\exists x \in U (P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$

as statements about the sets A , B and C .

Solution for 1.1. Notice that the statement $\neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x))$ is equivalent to $\neg(\neg Q(x) \vee R(x))$,

which, in turn, is equivalent to $Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)$.

Hence, the proposition $\forall x \in U (P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$ is equivalent to $\forall x \in U (P(x) \implies (Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)))$.

By definition of inclusion, it is equivalent to proposition

$$A \subset \{x \in U \mid Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)\}.$$

By definition of intersection, $\{x \in U \mid Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)\} = B \cap (U \setminus C)$.

1. Let $P(x)$, $Q(x)$, and $R(x)$ be predicates defined on the universe U and $A = \{x \in U \mid P(x)\}$, $B = \{x \in U \mid Q(x)\}$, and $C = \{x \in U \mid R(x)\}$ be sets.

Reformulate the propositions

1.1 $\forall x \in U (P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$

1.2 $\exists x \in U (P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$

as statements about the sets A , B and C .

Solution for 1.1. Notice that the statement $\neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x))$ is equivalent to $\neg(\neg Q(x) \vee R(x))$,

which, in turn, is equivalent to $Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)$.

Hence, the proposition $\forall x \in U (P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$ is equivalent to $\forall x \in U (P(x) \implies (Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)))$.

By definition of inclusion, it is equivalent to proposition

$$A \subset \{x \in U \mid Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)\}.$$

By definition of intersection, $\{x \in U \mid Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)\} = B \cap (U \setminus C)$.

Hence, the proposition (1.1) is equivalent to $A \subset (B \cap (U \setminus C))$.

1. Let $P(x)$, $Q(x)$, and $R(x)$ be predicates defined on the universe U and $A = \{x \in U \mid P(x)\}$, $B = \{x \in U \mid Q(x)\}$, and $C = \{x \in U \mid R(x)\}$ be sets.

Reformulate the propositions

1.1 $\forall x \in U (P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$

1.2 $\exists x \in U (P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$

as statements about the sets A , B and C .

Solution for 1.1. Notice that the statement $\neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x))$ is equivalent to $\neg(\neg Q(x) \vee R(x))$,

which, in turn, is equivalent to $Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)$.

Hence, the proposition $\forall x \in U (P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$ is equivalent to $\forall x \in U (P(x) \implies (Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)))$.

By definition of inclusion, it is equivalent to proposition

$$A \subset \{x \in U \mid Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)\}.$$

By definition of intersection, $\{x \in U \mid Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)\} = B \cap (U \setminus C)$.

Hence, the proposition (1.1) is equivalent to $A \subset (B \cap (U \setminus C))$.

The latter can be rewritten as $A \subset (B \setminus C)$.

1. Let $P(x)$, $Q(x)$, and $R(x)$ be predicates defined on the universe U and $A = \{x \in U \mid P(x)\}$, $B = \{x \in U \mid Q(x)\}$, and $C = \{x \in U \mid R(x)\}$ be sets.

Reformulate the propositions

$$1.1 \quad \forall x \in U (P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$$

$$1.2 \quad \exists x \in U (P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$$

as statements about the sets A , B and C .

Solution for 1.1. Notice that the statement $\neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x))$ is equivalent to $\neg(\neg Q(x) \vee R(x))$,

which, in turn, is equivalent to $Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)$.

Hence, the proposition $\forall x \in U (P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$ is equivalent to $\forall x \in U (P(x) \implies (Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)))$.

By definition of inclusion, it is equivalent to proposition

$$A \subset \{x \in U \mid Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)\}.$$

By definition of intersection, $\{x \in U \mid Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)\} = B \cap (U \setminus C)$.

Hence, the proposition (1.1) is equivalent to $A \subset (B \cap (U \setminus C))$.

The latter can be rewritten as $A \subset (B \setminus C)$.

The answer for 1.1: $A \subset (B \setminus C)$

We proved that the proposition $\exists x \in U(P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$ is equivalent to $\exists x \in U(P(x) \implies (Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)))$.

We proved that the proposition $\exists x \in U(P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$ is equivalent to $\exists x \in U(P(x) \implies (Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)))$.

Since $(X \implies Y) \iff (\neg X \vee Y)$, the statement $\exists x \in U(P(x) \implies (Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)))$ is equivalent to $\exists x \in U(\neg P(x) \vee (Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)))$.

We proved that the proposition $\exists x \in U(P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$ is equivalent to $\exists x \in U(P(x) \implies (Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)))$.

Since $(X \implies Y) \iff (\neg X \vee Y)$, the statement $\exists x \in U(P(x) \implies (Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)))$ is equivalent to $\exists x \in U(\neg P(x) \vee (Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)))$.

By the definition of union and intersection, the latter is equivalent to $(U \setminus A) \cup (B \cap (U \setminus C)) \neq \emptyset$.

We proved that the proposition $\exists x \in U(P(x) \implies \neg(Q(x) \implies \neg R(x)))$ is equivalent to $\exists x \in U(P(x) \implies (Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)))$.

Since $(X \implies Y) \iff (\neg X \vee Y)$, the statement $\exists x \in U(P(x) \implies (Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)))$ is equivalent to $\exists x \in U(\neg P(x) \vee (Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x)))$.

By the definition of union and intersection, the latter is equivalent to $(U \setminus A) \cup (B \cap (U \setminus C)) \neq \emptyset$.

This can be rewritten as $(U \setminus A) \cup (B \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$

Problem 2

Let $A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2n-1}{n} \right]$. Find $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$. Justify your result.

Problem 2

Let $A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2n-1}{n} \right]$. Find $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$. Justify your result.

Let us denote $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ by X and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ by Y .

Problem 2

Let $A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2n-1}{n}\right]$. Find $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$. Justify your result.

Let us denote $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ by X and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ by Y .

Preliminary considerations.

Observe that $A_1 = (-1, 1]$, $A_2 = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]$, $A_3 = (-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{5}{3}]$, \dots

Let $A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2n-1}{n}\right]$. Find $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$. Justify your result.

Let us denote $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ by X and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ by Y .

Preliminary considerations.

Observe that $A_1 = (-1, 1]$, $A_2 = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]$, $A_3 = (-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{5}{3}]$, \dots . Both sequences of end points $-\frac{1}{n}$ and $\frac{2n-1}{n} = 2 - \frac{1}{n}$ of A_n are increasing.

Let $A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2n-1}{n}\right]$. Find $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$. Justify your result.

Let us denote $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ by X and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ by Y .

Preliminary considerations.

Observe that $A_1 = (-1, 1]$, $A_2 = \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right]$, $A_3 = \left(-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{5}{3}\right]$, \dots . Both sequences of end points $-\frac{1}{n}$ and $\frac{2n-1}{n} = 2 - \frac{1}{n}$ of A_n are increasing.

The left end points converge to 0: $-\frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$,
the right end points converge to 2: $2 - \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 2$,
both are bounded by the limits.

Let $A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2n-1}{n}\right]$. Find $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$. Justify your result.

Let us denote $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ by X and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ by Y .

Preliminary considerations.

Observe that $A_1 = (-1, 1]$, $A_2 = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]$, $A_3 = (-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{5}{3}]$, \dots . Both sequences of end points $-\frac{1}{n}$ and $\frac{2n-1}{n} = 2 - \frac{1}{n}$ of A_n are increasing.

The left end points converge to 0: $-\frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$,
the right end points converge to 2: $2 - \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 2$,
both are bounded by the limits.

X looks like a segment connecting 0 and $2 - 1 = 1$,

Let $A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2n-1}{n}\right]$. Find $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$. Justify your result.

Let us denote $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ by X and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ by Y .

Preliminary considerations.

Observe that $A_1 = (-1, 1]$, $A_2 = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]$, $A_3 = (-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{5}{3}]$, \dots . Both sequences of end points $-\frac{1}{n}$ and $\frac{2n-1}{n} = 2 - \frac{1}{n}$ of A_n are increasing.

The left end points converge to 0: $-\frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$,
the right end points converge to 2: $2 - \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 2$,
both are bounded by the limits.

X looks like a segment connecting 0 and $2 - 1 = 1$,
while Y looks like a segment connecting -1 and 2.

Let $A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2n-1}{n}\right]$. Find $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$. Justify your result.

Let us denote $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ by X and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ by Y .

Preliminary considerations.

Observe that $A_1 = (-1, 1]$, $A_2 = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]$, $A_3 = (-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{5}{3}]$, \dots . Both sequences of end points $-\frac{1}{n}$ and $\frac{2n-1}{n} = 2 - \frac{1}{n}$ of A_n are increasing.

The left end points converge to 0: $-\frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$,
the right end points converge to 2: $2 - \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 2$,
both are bounded by the limits.

X looks like a segment connecting 0 and $2 - 1 = 1$,
while Y looks like a segment connecting -1 and 2. At least, it seems that
 $(0, 1) \subset X$ and $(-1, 2) \subset Y$.

Let $A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2n-1}{n}\right]$. Find $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$. Justify your result.

Let us denote $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ by X and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ by Y .

Preliminary considerations.

Observe that $A_1 = (-1, 1]$, $A_2 = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]$, $A_3 = (-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{5}{3}]$, \dots . Both sequences of end points $-\frac{1}{n}$ and $\frac{2n-1}{n} = 2 - \frac{1}{n}$ of A_n are increasing.

The left end points converge to 0: $-\frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$,
the right end points converge to 2: $2 - \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 2$,
both are bounded by the limits.

X looks like a segment connecting 0 and $2 - 1 = 1$,
while Y looks like a segment connecting -1 and 2. At least, it seems that
 $(0, 1) \subset X$ and $(-1, 2) \subset Y$.

We have to prove these inclusions, and check if the end points are contained in X and Y .

Let $A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2n-1}{n}\right]$. Find $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$. Justify your result.

Let us denote $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ by X and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ by Y .

Preliminary considerations.

Observe that $A_1 = (-1, 1]$, $A_2 = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]$, $A_3 = (-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{5}{3}]$, \dots . Both sequences of end points $-\frac{1}{n}$ and $\frac{2n-1}{n} = 2 - \frac{1}{n}$ of A_n are increasing.

The left end points converge to 0: $-\frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$,
the right end points converge to 2: $2 - \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 2$,
both are bounded by the limits.

X looks like a segment connecting 0 and $2 - 1 = 1$,
while Y looks like a segment connecting -1 and 2. At least, it seems that $(0, 1) \subset X$ and $(-1, 2) \subset Y$.

We have to prove these inclusions, and check if the end points are contained in X and Y .

And also we have to prove that no other points are contained.

Intervals and inequalities.

$$A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2n-1}{n} \right] = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid -\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right\}$$

Intervals and inequalities.

$$A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2n-1}{n} \right] = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid -\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right\}$$

$$X = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \right\}$$

Intervals and inequalities.

$$A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2n-1}{n} \right] = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid -\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right\}$$

$$X = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \right\}$$

$$Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \right\}$$

Intervals and inequalities.

$$A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2n-1}{n} \right] = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid -\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right\}$$

$$X = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \right\}$$

$$Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \right\}$$

Proof $(0, 1) \subset X$ means

$$\forall x \left((0 < x < 1) \implies \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \right)$$

Intervals and inequalities.

$$A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2n-1}{n} \right] = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid -\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right\}$$

$$X = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \right\}$$

$$Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \right\}$$

Proof $(0, 1) \subset X$ means

$$\forall x \left((0 < x < 1) \implies \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \right)$$

Indeed, if $0 < x$, then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \right)$

Intervals and inequalities.

$$A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2n-1}{n} \right] = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid -\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right\}$$

$$X = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \right\}$$

$$Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \right\}$$

Proof $(0, 1) \subset X$ means

$$\forall x \left((0 < x < 1) \implies \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \right)$$

Indeed, if $0 < x$, then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \right)$

and if $x < 1$, then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(x < \frac{2n-1}{n} = 2 - \frac{1}{n} \right)$.

Intervals and inequalities.

$$A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2n-1}{n} \right] = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid -\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right\}$$

$$X = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \right\}$$

$$Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \right\}$$

Proof $(0, 1) \subset X$ means

$$\forall x \left((0 < x < 1) \implies \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \right)$$

Indeed, if $0 < x$, then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \right)$

and if $x < 1$, then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(x < \frac{2n-1}{n} = 2 - \frac{1}{n} \right)$.

Moreover, for $x = 0$ we have $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \right)$ and for $x = 1$ we have

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(x < \frac{2n-1}{n} \right).$$

Intervals and inequalities.

$$A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2n-1}{n} \right] = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid -\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right\}$$

$$X = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \right\}$$

$$Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \right\}$$

Proof $(0, 1) \subset X$ means

$$\forall x \left((0 < x < 1) \implies \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \right)$$

Indeed, if $0 < x$, then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \right)$

and if $x < 1$, then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(x < \frac{2n-1}{n} = 2 - \frac{1}{n} \right)$.

Moreover, for $x = 0$ we have $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \right)$ and for $x = 1$ we have $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(x < \frac{2n-1}{n} \right)$. Thus $0, 1 \in X$ (and $[0, 1] \subset X$).

Intervals and inequalities.

$$A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2n-1}{n} \right] = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid -\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right\}$$

$$X = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \right\}$$

$$Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \right\}$$

Proof $(0, 1) \subset X$ means

$$\forall x \left((0 < x < 1) \implies \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \right)$$

Indeed, if $0 < x$, then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \right)$

and if $x < 1$, then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(x < \frac{2n-1}{n} = 2 - \frac{1}{n} \right)$.

Moreover, for $x = 0$ we have $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \right)$ and for $x = 1$ we have $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(x < \frac{2n-1}{n} \right)$. Thus $0, 1 \in X$ (and $[0, 1] \subset X$).

In order to prove equality $[0, 1] = X$, we still have to prove $[0, 1] \supset X$.

Intervals and inequalities.

$$A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2n-1}{n} \right] = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid -\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right\}$$

$$X = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \right\}$$

$$Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \right\}$$

Proof $(0, 1) \subset X$ means

$$\forall x \left((0 < x < 1) \implies \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \right)$$

Indeed, if $0 < x$, then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \right)$

and if $x < 1$, then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(x < \frac{2n-1}{n} = 2 - \frac{1}{n} \right)$.

Moreover, for $x = 0$ we have $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \right)$ and for $x = 1$ we have $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(x < \frac{2n-1}{n} \right)$. Thus $0, 1 \in X$ (and $[0, 1] \subset X$).

In order to prove equality $[0, 1] = X$, we still have to prove $[0, 1] \supset X$.

The latter is equivalent to

$$\forall x \left(\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \implies (0 \leq x \leq 1) \right).$$

Thus we have to prove

$$\forall x \left(\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \implies (0 \leq x \leq 1) \right).$$

Solution of Problem 2

Thus we have to prove

$$\forall x \left(\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \implies (0 \leq x \leq 1) \right).$$

Assume to the contrary that

$$\exists x \left(\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \wedge ((x < 0) \vee (1 < x)) \right)$$

Thus we have to prove

$$\forall x \left(\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \implies (0 \leq x \leq 1) \right).$$

Assume to the contrary that

$$\exists x \left(\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \wedge ((x < 0) \vee (1 < x)) \right)$$

The sequence $-\frac{1}{n}$ converges to 0.

Thus we have to prove

$$\forall x \left(\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \implies (0 \leq x \leq 1) \right).$$

Assume to the contrary that

$$\exists x \left(\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \wedge ((x < 0) \vee (1 < x)) \right)$$

The sequence $-\frac{1}{n}$ converges to 0. Therefore if $x < 0$, then $\exists n \left(x < -\frac{1}{n} \right)$.

Thus we have to prove

$$\forall x \left(\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \implies (0 \leq x \leq 1) \right).$$

Assume to the contrary that

$$\exists x \left(\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \wedge ((x < 0) \vee (1 < x)) \right)$$

The sequence $-\frac{1}{n}$ converges to 0. Therefore if $x < 0$, then $\exists n \left(x < -\frac{1}{n} \right)$.

The sequence $\frac{2n-1}{n}$ tends to 1.

Thus we have to prove

$$\forall x \left(\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \implies (0 \leq x \leq 1) \right).$$

Assume to the contrary that

$$\exists x \left(\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \wedge ((x < 0) \vee (1 < x)) \right)$$

The sequence $-\frac{1}{n}$ converges to 0. Therefore if $x < 0$, then $\exists n \left(x < -\frac{1}{n} \right)$.

The sequence $\frac{2n-1}{n}$ tends to 1. Therefore if $1 > x$, then $\exists n \left(\frac{2n-1}{n} < x \right)$.

Thus we have to prove

$$\forall x \left(\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \implies (0 \leq x \leq 1) \right).$$

Assume to the contrary that

$$\exists x \left(\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(-\frac{1}{n} < x \leq \frac{2n-1}{n} \right) \wedge ((x < 0) \vee (1 < x)) \right)$$

The sequence $-\frac{1}{n}$ converges to 0. Therefore if $x < 0$, then $\exists n \left(x < -\frac{1}{n} \right)$.

The sequence $\frac{2n-1}{n}$ tends to 1. Therefore if $1 > x$, then $\exists n \left(\frac{2n-1}{n} < x \right)$.

These contradicts our assumption. \square

Problem 3

Prove that if the lengths of all sides of a right triangle are integers, then one of them is divisible by 5.

Problem 4

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $g : Y \rightarrow Z$, $h : Z \rightarrow X$ be maps. Prove that if $f \circ h \circ g$ and $g \circ f \circ h$ are injections and $h \circ g \circ f$ is surjection, then f , g and h are bijections.

Problem 5

In the following two situations verify if \sim is an equivalence relation in X and, if it is, then list the equivalence classes, if it is not, then explain why.

a) $X = \{2, 4, 7, 8, 9, 15\}$ and $x \sim y \iff \gcd(x, y) \neq 1$.

b) $X = \{2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 15\}$ and $x \sim y \iff \gcd(x, y) \neq 1$.

Problem 5

In the following two situations verify if \sim is an equivalence relation in X and, if it is, then list the equivalence classes, if it is not, then explain why.

a) $X = \{2, 4, 7, 8, 9, 15\}$ and $x \sim y \iff \gcd(x, y) \neq 1$.

b) $X = \{2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 15\}$ and $x \sim y \iff \gcd(x, y) \neq 1$.

Partition or relation?

Problem 6

6. A number $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is called *algebraic* if it is a root of equation $a_0x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$. Prove that the set of all algebraic numbers is countable.