

§ Invertability & isomorphisms (3D)

Def: A linear map $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ is called invertible if $\exists S \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$ such that

$$ST = I_V \quad \text{and} \quad TS = I_W$$

identity maps on V and W , resp

S is called an inverse of T
(by symmetry T is an inverse of S)

Prop: If an inverse to a linear map exists, it must be unique.

Proof: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and assume $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$ are inverses:

$$TS_i = I_W \quad S_i T = I_V \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= S_1 \cdot I_V = S_1 (TS_2) = (S_1 T) S_2 \\ &= I_W S_2 = S_2 \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

If $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ has an inverse we know it's unique, and so we will denote it by $T^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$.

Theorem: $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ is invertible if and only if T is injective & surjective.

Proof: \implies : Assume $T^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$ exists. Then we first show injectivity. It suffices to show $\text{null } T = \{0\}$. Therefore assume $v \in \text{null } T$. Because T^{-1} is linear $T^{-1}(0) = 0$. Therefore

$$0 = T^{-1}(0) = T^{-1}(T(v)) = I_V(v) = v \\ \Rightarrow \text{null } T = \{0\}.$$

Next we show surjectivity, i.e. $\text{range } T = W$. Let $w \in W$, then

$$w = I_W(w) = T(T^{-1}(w)) = T(v)$$

So $w \in \text{range } T$ for any $w \in W$, and so T must be surjective.

\Leftarrow : Assume $\text{null } T = \{0\}$ and $\text{range } T = W$. For any $w \in W$ it means $\exists v \in V : w = T(v)$, moreover this v is unique because

$$T(v_1) = w = T(v_2) \Leftrightarrow T(v_1 - v_2) = 0 \\ \Rightarrow v_1 = v_2 \text{ by injectivity.}$$

Define $S: W \rightarrow V$ as $S(w) = v$ where v is as above. Have to show

$$\textcircled{1} ST = I_V \text{ and } TS = I_W$$

$\textcircled{2}$ S is linear

$\textcircled{1}$ By def $w = T(S(w))$ so $TS = I$.

$$S(T(v)) = \text{unique element } \bar{v} \in V : T(\bar{v}) = T(v)$$

so must have $\bar{v} = v \Rightarrow ST(v) = v$.

$\textcircled{2}$: Let $w_1, w_2 \in W$. Then by def

$$S(w_1 + w_2) = \text{unique element } v : T(v) = w_1 + w_2.$$

$$S(w_1) = -|| \text{-----} v_1 : T(v_1) = w_1$$

$$S(w_2) = -|| \text{-----} v_2 : T(v_2) = w_2$$

So

$$T(S(w_1) + S(w_2)) = T(v_1 + v_2) = T(v_1) + T(v_2)$$

$$= T(v) = T(S(w_1 + w_2))$$

$\Rightarrow S(w_1 + w_2) = S(w_1) + S(w_2)$ by inj

of T. Scalar mult is similar. \square

EX: • The linear map

$T: \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}), T_p = x^2 p(x)$
is injective: If $T_p = x^2 p(x) = 0$
for all x we must have $p = 0$.

So $\text{null } T = \{0\}$.

But T is not surjective, since
eg $q(x) = x+1$ is not in range T .

• $\sigma: \mathbb{F}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^\infty$

$(x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto (x_2, x_3, \dots)$

is surjective: For any (c_1, c_2, \dots)

we have eg.

$(c_1, c_2, \dots) = \sigma(0, c_1, c_2, \dots)$.

σ is not injective: The element
 $(1, 0, 0, \dots) \in \text{null } \sigma$ so $\text{null } \sigma \neq \{0\}$.

Prop. If V, W are fin. dim. v. sp.
 $\dim V = \dim W$, and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$.

Then T injective $\Leftrightarrow T$ surjective
 $\Leftrightarrow T$ invertible

Proof: By def T invertible \Leftrightarrow
 T inj + surj. So it suffices to
prove the first equivalence

\Rightarrow : Assume $\text{null } T = \{0\}$

$\Leftrightarrow \dim \text{null } T = 0$. Then by
the fundamental thm of lin maps
 $\dim W = \dim \text{range } T$, & because
 $\text{range } T \subset W$ is a subspace, we
must have $\text{range } T = W$

\Leftarrow : This is similar: Assume
 $\text{range } T = W$ then fund. thm. of
lin maps implies $\dim \text{null } T = 0$

$\Leftrightarrow \text{null } T = \{0\}$.

□

Prop: If V, W are fin dim vector spaces of the same dim, and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, $S \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$ then

$$ST = I_V \Leftrightarrow TS = I_W.$$

Proof: \Rightarrow : Assume $ST = I_V$. Then if $v \in \text{null } T$ we have

$$v = I_V(v) = ST(v) = S(0) = 0$$

so $\text{null } T = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow T$ inj $\Leftrightarrow T$ invertible w/ inverse $T^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ST = I_V &\Leftrightarrow STT^{-1} = T^{-1} \\ &\Leftrightarrow S = T^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{so } TS = TT^{-1} = I_W$$

\Leftarrow : This is similar.

□

Def: ① An isomorphism is an invertible linear map.

② Two vector spaces V, W are isomorphic ($V \cong W$) if there is an isomorphism $T: V \rightarrow W$.

Thm: If V, W are fin dim V sp, then $V \cong W \iff \dim V = \dim W$

Proof: \implies : Let $T: V \rightarrow W$ be an iso. Fund thm of lin maps implies $\dim V = \dim \text{null } T + \dim \text{range } T$
 $= 0 + \dim W = \dim W$

\impliedby : Pick bases v_1, \dots, v_n and w_1, \dots, w_n of V and W , respectively. Define $T: V \rightarrow W$ to be the linear map $T(v) = T\left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i v_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i$.

Assume $v \in \text{null } T$, Then

$$T(v) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i = 0 \Rightarrow c_i = 0 \forall i$$

Since (w_1, \dots, w_n) is lin indep.

$$\Rightarrow v = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i v_i = 0 \text{ so } \text{null } T = \{0\}$$

$\Leftrightarrow T$ inj $\Leftrightarrow T$ surj $\Leftrightarrow T$ invertible. \square

Rmk: This result means that any fin dim vector space V is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}^{\dim V}$.

Recall that if V, W are fin dim, and we have picked bases for V and W , the assignment

$$T \mapsto \mathcal{M}(T) \quad (= \text{the matrix of } T)$$

defines a linear map

$$\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{L}(V, W) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{m, n}$$

Prop: Assume we have picked bases for V and W , then \mathcal{M} is an isomorphism.

Proof: Recall if (v_1, \dots, v_n) and (w_1, \dots, w_m) are bases for V, W then

$$\mathcal{M}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} | & & | \\ T(v_1) & \dots & T(v_n) \\ | & & | \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then if $T \in \text{null } \mathcal{M}$, we have

$T(v_i) = 0 \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ so for any $v \in V$ write $v = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i v_i$. Then

$$T(v) = T\left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i v_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i T(v_i) = 0$$

so $T = \text{the zero map}$, and hence $\text{null } \mathcal{M} = \{0\}$.

For surjectivity, let $A \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$.

We define a linear map $T: V \rightarrow W$ by

$T(v_k) = \sum_{j=1}^m A_{jik} w_j$ & we can easily check that this is linear. By constr, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{M}(T) &= \begin{pmatrix} | & & | \\ T(v_1) & \dots & T(v_n) \\ | & & | \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} & \dots & A_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{m,1} & \dots & A_{m,n} \end{pmatrix} = A. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Prop: Assume V, W are fin dim v.sp.
 Then $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$ is fin dim &
 $\dim \mathcal{L}(V, W) = (\dim V)(\dim W)$

Proof: Previous thm says $\mathcal{L}(V, W) \cong \mathbb{F}^{\dim W, \dim V}$
 $\dim \mathbb{F}^{\dim W, \dim V} = (\dim V)(\dim W) \quad \square$