

Some boundary value and mapping problems for differential forms

Simon Donaldson¹ ²

¹Simons Centre for Geometry and Physics
Stony Brook

²Imperial College
London

April 25, 2021

PLAN of TALK.

- 1 Background
- 2 Outline of some older work in dimension $6 + 1$ (arxiv 1708.01649, 1801.01806, 1802.09694).
- 3 Preliminary report on work in progress in dimension $5 + 1$ with Fabian Lehmann (and with important input from Robert Bryant).

Part 1. Background

There are special geometric constructions in dimensions 6, 7, 8 related to exceptional holonomy and special properties of differential forms in those dimensions.

Dimension 7

Let V be an oriented 7-dimensional real vector space and $\phi \in \Lambda^3 V^*$.

We have a quadratic form on V with values in the line Λ^7 defined by

$$v \mapsto (i_v(\phi))^2 \wedge \phi.$$

The form is called *positive* if this is positive definite.

In that case we fix the scale by requiring that $|\phi|^2 = 7$. This gives a Euclidean structure g_ϕ on V , determined by ϕ .

We have a 4-form $*\phi = *_\phi\phi$.

The stabiliser in $GL(V)$ of any positive 3-form is isomorphic to the 14-dimensional exceptional Lie group G_2 .

*Fernandez and Gray: A torsion-free G_2 -structure on an oriented 7-manifold M is equivalent to a 3-form ϕ which is everywhere positive and with $d\phi = 0$, $d * \phi = 0$.*

One reason for being interested in these structures is that the Riemannian metric g_ϕ of a torsion-free structure has Ricci = 0.

Hitchin's variational formulation

We have a volume function

$$\nu : \Lambda_+^3 \rightarrow \Lambda^7.$$

The derivative is

$$\delta\nu = \frac{1}{3}\delta\phi \wedge *\phi.$$

Let ϕ be a positive form on a compact M^7 . It defines a volume

$$\text{Vol}(\phi) = \int_M \nu(\phi).$$

Consider the volume as a functional on the closed forms ϕ in a fixed de Rham cohomology class (assuming that this space is not empty).

The condition for a critical point is

$$\int_M d\sigma \wedge *\phi = 0$$

for all 2-forms σ .

Integrating by parts, this is equivalent to $d*\phi = 0$.

So the torsion-free G_2 structures correspond to the solutions of this variational problem.

Dimension 6

Let U be a 6-dimensional oriented real vector space and $\rho \in \Lambda^3 U^*$.

Say ρ is *positive* if $i_v \rho$ has rank 4 for all $v \neq 0$. Assume this holds.

For $v \neq 0$, let $N_v = \{v' : i_{v'} i_v \rho = 0\}$. So $v \in N_v$.

Then $\dim N_v = 2$ and for each $v' \in N_v$ the form $i_{v'} \rho$ induces a symplectic form $\omega_{v'}$ on the 4-dimensional space U/N_v .

The map $v' \mapsto \omega_{v'}^2$ defines a conformal structure on N_v and, thinking about orientations, we see that there is a corresponding complex structure on N_v . So we have a way to define $I_\rho v \in N_v \subset U$. This gives a complex structure I_ρ on U .

We also get a complex 3-form $\Omega = \rho + i\tilde{\rho}$ which is of type $(3, 0)$ with respect to I_ρ .

The stabiliser in $GL^+(U)$ of a positive 3-form is isomorphic to $SL(3, \mathbf{C})$.

A *complex Calabi-Yau structure* on an oriented 6-manifold Z is equivalent to a 3-form ρ which is everywhere positive and with $d\rho = d\tilde{\rho} = 0$.

Then the almost-complex structure I_ρ is integrable, so we have a complex manifold, and $\Omega = \rho + i\tilde{\rho}$ is a nowhere-vanishing holomorphic 3-form.

There is a similar Hitchin variational description, with a volume functional on the space of positive 3-forms in a fixed cohomology class.

Part 2: Boundary value problem for G_2 -structures

Let M be a compact oriented 7-manifold with boundary $\partial M = Z$ and ρ a closed positive 3-form on Z .

We consider the problem of finding a torsion-free G_2 -structure ϕ (in a fixed “relative” class) on M which restricts to ρ on the boundary.

This has a variational description. (We consider variations $d\sigma$ with $\sigma|_Z = 0$. Then

$$\delta \text{Vol} = \int_M d(*\phi) \wedge \sigma + \int_Z *\phi \wedge \sigma,$$

and the boundary term vanishes.)

Proposition *This is an elliptic boundary value problem for ϕ , modulo diffeomorphisms of M fixing the boundary.*

Brief discussion

Sketch of the standard case, for a closed manifold M .

We suppose that ϕ is a torsion-free G_2 structure and want to consider the linearised equation (equivalently, the Hessian of the volume functional).

An infinitesimal variation of ϕ has the form $d\sigma$. The variations $L_v\phi = d i_v\phi$ for vector fields v are “trivial”.

The 2-forms on M decompose into $\Omega_7^2 \oplus \Omega_{14}^2$. This decomposition is preserved by the Laplace operator Δ .

The first factor corresponds to the $i_V \phi$. So we can suppose that $\sigma \in \Omega_{14}^2$.

Slightly over-simplifying, the linearised operator turns out to be the Laplacian on Ω_{14}^2 .

The structure ϕ is a strict *local maximum* of the volume functional on the forms in the same cohomology class, modulo diffeomorphisms.

In the case of a manifold with boundary, the space Λ_{14}^2 decomposes at a boundary point into a sum of 8-dimensional and 6-dimensional pieces. (The 8-dimensional piece corresponds to the Lie algebra of $SU(3)$ inside the Lie algebra of G_2 .)

The linearised operator associated to the boundary value problem turns out to be the Laplacian on Ω_{14}^2 with boundary conditions

- $d^* \sigma|_{\partial M} = 0$;
- $\sigma|_{\partial M,8} = 0$.

(Note that this is $6 + 8 = 14$ boundary conditions, of mixed Dirichlet and Neumann type.)

One checks that this is an elliptic boundary value set-up.

Consequence of the Proposition

For small variations of the data (*i.e.* the 3-form ρ and the cohomology class of ϕ) there is a unique small perturbation of the solution to the B.V. problem for G_2 -structures **MODULO** possible obstructions in a finite-dimensional vector space H_ϕ .

This is a relatively standard application of the implicit function theorem in Banach spaces, and elliptic theory.

One can prove in certain cases, and plausibly conjecture in some generality, that these obstruction spaces H_ϕ vanish. (Related to the question whether the solutions are local maxima in the variational theory).

Example If ρ_0, ρ_1 are closed 3-forms in the same cohomology class on a 6-manifold Z which are sufficiently close to a Calabi-Yau structure then there is a “ G_2 -cobordism” between them, perturbing the cylinder $(\text{Calabi} - \text{Yau}) \times [0, 1]$.

Riemannian geometry aspects

To have any hope of general existence theorems one perhaps needs to impose conditions on the boundary data ρ .

If ρ is any closed positive 3-form on Z^6 the 4-form $d\tilde{\rho}$ has type $(2, 2)$ with respect to the almost-complex structure I_ρ .

Thus there is notion of *positivity* of $d\tilde{\rho}$ and an intrinsic numerical invariant $\det d\tilde{\rho}$.

If $d\tilde{\rho} > 0$ and ρ is the boundary value of a torsion-free G_2 -structure ϕ on M then the *mean curvature* μ of Z in (M, g_ϕ) is positive and

$$\mu \geq \frac{3}{2}(\det \tilde{\rho})^{1/3} > 0.$$

This combines well with the fact that $\text{Ricci}(g_\phi) = 0$.

For example, if $\mu \geq \mu_0 > 0$ then the distance of any point in M from the boundary is at most $7/\mu_0$.

(Proof similar to Myers' Theorem.)

Dimension reduction

Various interesting equations in lower dimensions arise by imposing symmetry.

Example Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ be the boundary of a convex domain U . Let T be a current of the form

$$T(f) = \int_{\Sigma} a \nabla_{\nu} f + b f,$$

for functions a, b on Σ , where ∇_{ν} is the normal derivative.

Problem: Minimise $T(f)$ over solutions f of the Monge-Ampère equation $\det(\nabla^2 f) = 1$ on the domain U .

This arises from the G_2 problem in 7 dimensions by imposing symmetry under an action of $\mathbf{R}^3 \times S^1$.

Part 3: Boundary values of complex Calabi-Yau structures

Let Y be a compact oriented 5-manifold and ψ a closed 3-form on Y .

If Y is the boundary of a 6-manifold Z then we can seek a complex Calabi-Yau structure ρ on Z with boundary value ψ , in the manner above.

This is *not* an elliptic boundary value problem.

Note that, unlike the G_2 -case, complex Calabi-Yau structures are locally trivial.

We focus on a variant of the problem, which is to seek Z as a domain in some fixed complex Calabi-Yau manifold Z_+ , with holomorphic 3-form Ω . For example $Z_+ = \mathbf{C}^3$.

Then we have a *mapping problem*: Is there an embedding

$$F : Y \rightarrow Z_+$$

such that

$$F^*(\operatorname{Re} \Omega) = \psi?$$

Informal parameter count.

A closed 3-form in 5 dimensions is given by $10 - 5 + 1 = 6$ functions, which is the same number as a map into a 6-manifold Z_+ .

This is special to the dimension: for example, a closed 4-form on a 7-manifold is given by $35 - 21 + 7 - 1 = 20$ functions, which is much more than 8.

A dimensionally reduced problem

Take $Y = \Sigma \times \mathbf{R}_y^3$ where Σ is diffeomorphic to S^2 and

$$\psi = \omega_1 dy_1 + \omega_2 dy_2 + \omega_3 dy_3,$$

where $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ are 2-forms on Σ and y_a are co-ordinates on \mathbf{R}_y^3 .

Take F to have the form

$$F(u, (y_1, y_2, y_3)) = f(u) + (iy_1, iy_2, iy_3)$$

for a map $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_x^3 \subset \mathbf{C}^3$.

Then the problem is to find f such that

$$f^*(dx_a dx_b) = \omega_c,$$

for (abc) cyclic permutations of (123) , where x_a are co-ordinates on \mathbf{R}_x^3 .

Clearly we need to assume that

$$\int_{\Sigma} \omega_a = 0$$

Choose an area form σ on Σ . We have $\omega_a = h_a\sigma$ for functions h_a on Σ . Write $\underline{h} = (h_1, h_2, h_3) : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_x^3$.

Assume that \underline{h} nowhere vanishes and that it induces a diffeomorphism $g = h/|h| : \Sigma \rightarrow S^2$.

Then finding the map f is equivalent to the classical Minkowski problem, solved by Nirenberg in 1953.

For, without loss of generality we can suppose that $|h| = 1$ and that $\Sigma = S^2$ with g the identity map. Then ω_a are the 2-forms on S^2 determined by a positive function K :

$$\omega_a = K^{-1} x_a dA,$$

where dA is the standard area form on S^2 .

The condition on the map $f : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ is that the normal vector to the image at $f(x)$ is x and that the Gauss curvature is $K(x)$.

Closed 3-forms in dimension 5

(Incorporating suggestions of Robert Bryant.)

Let ψ be a closed 3-form on the oriented Y^5 . At each point ψ defines a skew form on cotangent vectors with values in Λ^5 :

$$(a, b) \mapsto a \wedge b \wedge \psi.$$

Assumption 1 This has maximal rank, 4, at each point. (This is necessary for a form induced from $Y \subset (Z_+, \Omega)$.)

The 1-dimensional kernel in T^*Y correspond to a field of 4-dimensional subspaces $H \subset TY$.

Assumption 2 H is a contact structure on Y .

There is a contact 1-form θ with $(d\theta)^2 \wedge \theta > 0$. This is not unique; we could change θ to $f\theta$ for any positive function f .
By construction $\psi = \theta \wedge \alpha$ for a 2-form α .

Assumption 3

$$\theta \wedge \alpha^2 > 0.$$

(Assumptions 2 and 3 imply that if ψ is induced from $Y \subset (Z_+, \Omega)$ bounding a domain Z then, with the right choice of orientation, the boundary is pseudoconvex.)

We can then normalise θ by the requirement that $\alpha^2 \wedge \theta = (d\theta)^2 \wedge \theta$. Write $\omega = d\theta$ and fix the volume form $\mu = \omega^2 \wedge \theta$.

We have a Reeb vector field ν defined by the conditions that $i_\nu \omega = 0$ and $\theta(\nu) = 1$.

This gives a decomposition $TY = H \oplus \mathbf{R}\nu$ and a subspace of forms $\Omega_H^p \subset \Omega^p$ so that

$$\Omega^p = \Omega_H^p \oplus \theta \wedge \Omega_H^{p-1}.$$

We have

$$d_H : \Omega_H^p \rightarrow \Omega_H^{p+1}.$$

The square d_H^2 is the wedge product with ω .

The choice of α can be fixed by requiring $\alpha \in \Omega_H^2$.

We have an indefinite inner product of signature $(3, 3)$ on $\Lambda^2 H^*$ defined by

$$(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \mu = \gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2 \wedge \theta.$$

By construction $d_H \omega = 0$ and $\omega \cdot \omega = \alpha \cdot \alpha = 1$. The conditions that $\psi = \alpha \wedge \theta$ is closed is equivalent to $\alpha \cdot \omega = 0$ and $d_H \alpha = 0$.

The orthonormal pair ω, α defines a complex structure J on H .

Let L_v be the Lie derivative along the Reeb field v . There is an invariant $\chi = L\alpha$ which satisfies $\chi \cdot \alpha = \chi \cdot \omega = 0$, so χ has type $(1, 1)$ with respect to J .

Thus there is a notion of “positivity” of χ .

There is also a numerical invariant $\chi \cdot \chi$ (a function on Y).

(Robert Bryant informs us that the tensor χ is the only second order invariant of closed 3-forms ψ , satisfying our assumptions.)

One question is: which contact structures on 5-manifolds are compatible with a closed 3-form in this way?

Suppose now that ψ is obtained from an embedding $Y \subset Z_+$. The restriction of $\text{Im } \Omega$ can be written as $\beta \wedge \theta$ for $\beta \in \Omega_H^2$ with $d_H \beta = 0$ and ω, α, β form an orthonormal triple with respect to the inner product. The pair α, β defines another complex structure I on H , which is the usual CR-structure given by the embedding.

There is a unique metric on H with volume form ω^2 and self-dual space spanned by ω, α, β .

Another question is: given $\psi = \alpha \wedge \theta$ as above, can we find a $\beta \in \Omega_H^2$ with $d_H\beta = 0$ such that (ω, α, β) is an orthonormal triple, and if so is the solution unique? (Bryant)

This could be seen as a “contact” version of the Calabi-Yau problem in complex dimension 2 (the existence of a hyperkähler structure).

Given θ, α, β as above we have anti-self-dual forms Ω_H^- and a complex

$$\Omega_H^0 \xrightarrow{d_H} \Omega_H^1 \xrightarrow{d_H^-} \Omega_H^- \quad (***)_H$$

Denote the cohomology by \mathcal{H}^p .

The linearised embedding problem

For definiteness, take Y diffeomorphic to S^5 and $Z_+ = \mathbf{C}^3$. A solution of the embedding problem will never be unique because it can be changed by a holomorphic volume-preserving diffeomorphism of \mathbf{C}^3 .

Suppose that ψ is induced by an embedding $Y \subset \mathbf{C}^3$ as the boundary of a pseudoconvex domain Z . The linearised problem can be expressed in terms of a complex

$$E_0 \xrightarrow{D_1} E_1 \xrightarrow{D_2} E_2,$$

where E_0 is the space of divergence-free holomorphic vector fields on Z , E_1 is the space of sections of $T\mathbf{C}^3|_Y$ and E_2 is the space of closed 3-forms on Y .

We have the data (θ, α, β) on Y , as above.

Proposition Suppose that $\mathcal{H}^2 = 0$. Then D_2 is surjective and $\text{Ker } D_2 = \text{Im } D_1$.

This makes it plausible that, when $\mathcal{H}^2 = 0$, any small deformation of ψ can be realised by a small deformation of the embedding, unique up to volume-preserving holomorphic diffeomorphisms. In other words, the isomorphism class of $(Z, \Omega|_Z)$ would be uniquely determined by the boundary value ψ (with respect to small variations).

We expect/hope that Nash-Moser theory can be applied to prove such a result.

Proof of the assertion $\mathcal{H}^2 = 0$ implies that D_2 is surjective.

The restriction of the tangent bundle of \mathbf{C}^3 to Y is $H \oplus \mathbf{C}v$. For any section w let $K(w)$ be the restriction of the 2-form $i_w(\text{Re}\Omega)$ to Y . Then then the map D_2 is

$$D_2(w) = d(K(w)).$$

Any closed 3-form on Y can be written as $d\sigma$ and σ is unique up to $d\Omega_Y^1$. It follows that the cokernel of D_2 is isomorphic to the cokernel of

$$d \oplus K : \Omega_Y^1 \oplus \Gamma(T\mathbf{C}^3|_Y) \rightarrow \Omega_Y^2.$$

The image of the bundle map K is the span of α, β plus $\Omega_H^1 \wedge \theta$.

Since $d(f\theta) = f\omega$ modulo $\Omega_H^1 \wedge \theta$, the cokernel of $d \oplus K$ is isomorphic to the quotient of the cokernel of $d_H : \Omega_H^1 \Omega_H^2$ by the subspace generated by α, β, ω . This is the same as the cokernel of d_H^- .

The proof of the other assertion in the Proposition involves an integration argument to show that any $w \in \ker D_2$ is a holomorphic section in the sense of the $\bar{\partial}_b$ operator on Y , which then extends holomorphically over Z by a theorem of Hartogs type.

Analysis on S^5

It seems likely that the theory of hypoelliptic operators can be applied to these questions. In the case when $Y \subset \mathbf{C}^3$ is the standard sphere S^5 with induced 3-form ψ_0 one can proceed in a more elementary way.

We have an S^1 action with quotient \mathbf{CP}^2 and H is the pull-back of the tangent space of \mathbf{CP}^2 . Let $L \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^2$ be the Hopf line bundle. It has a connection with curvature a self-dual form on \mathbf{CP}^2 , which lifts to ω on S^5 .

For each integer k there is a complex over \mathbf{CP}^2 :

$$\Omega^0(L^k) \xrightarrow{d_k} \Omega^1(L^k) \xrightarrow{d_k^-} \Omega^-(L^k). \quad (***)_k$$

of a kind which is well-known in the deformation theory of Yang-Mills instantons.

Set $\Delta_k = d_k^- (d_k^-)^*$.

On a general Riemannian 4-manifold, the Weitzenbock formula on Ω^- is

$$\Delta_k = \frac{1}{2} \nabla^* \nabla + (W_- + S/3),$$

where W_- is the anti-self-dual part of the Weyl curvature. For \mathbf{CP}^2 this vanishes, the scalar curvature is positive and we have

$$\Delta_k = \frac{1}{2} \nabla^* \nabla + 4.$$

This implies that d_k^- is surjective.

(Remark: On self-dual manifolds like \mathbf{CP}^2 the complex above has a “twistor” description.)

Returning to S^5 , we can decompose Ω_H^* into Fourier components with respect to the circle action and we find that the complex $(***)_H$ on S^5 can be identified with the sum over $k \geq 0$ of the complexes $(***)_k$ on \mathbf{CP}^2 .

The preceding discussion then implies that for ψ_0 we have $\mathcal{H}^2 = 0$.

Going further, one can show that $\Delta_k \geq ck$ for some $c > 0$ and use this to show that for any closed 3-form sufficiently close to ψ_0 we also have $\mathcal{H}^2 = 0$ and there is a right inverse to the linearised operator d_H^- satisfying explicit estimates in Sobolev spaces. This is the crucial requirement to apply the Nash-Moser theory.